Architecting Distributed Databases for Failure A Case Study with Druid

Fangjin Yang Cofounder @ ⇒ imply

Overview

The Bad

The Really Bad

The Catastrophic

Best Practices: Operations

Everything is going to fail!

Requirements

Scalable

- Tens of thousands of nodes
- Petabytes of raw data

Available

- 24 x 7 x 365 uptime

Performant

- Run as smoothly as possible when things go wrong

Druid

Open source distributed data store

Column oriented storage of event data

Low latency OLAP queries & low latency data ingestion

Initially designed to power a SaaS for online advertising (in AWS)

Our real-world example case study



Single Server Failures

Common

Occurs for every imaginable and unimaginable reason

- Hardware malfunction, kernel panic, network outage, etc.
- Minimal impact

Standard solution: replication

Druid Segments

Timestamp	Dimensions	Measures	
2015-01-01T00			
2015-01-01T01			
2015-01-02T05			
2015-01-02T07			
2015-01-03T05			
2015-01-03T07			

Timestamp	Dimensions	Measures
2015-01-01T00		
2015-01-01T01		

Segment_2015-01-01/2014-01-02

Timestamp	Dimensions	Measures
2015-01-02T05		
2015-01-02T07		

TimestampDimensionsMeasures2015-01-03T052015-01-03T07

Segment_2015-01-02/2014-01-03

Segment_2015-01-03/2014-01-04

Partition by time

Replication Example



Query Segment_1



Query Segment_1



Multi-Server Failures

Common: 1 server fails Less common: >1 server fails

Data center issues (rack failure)

Two strategies:

- fast recovery
- multi-datacenter replication

Fast Recovery

Complete data availability in the face of multi-server failures is hard!

Focus on fast recovery instead

Be careful of the pitfalls of fast recovery

More viable in the cloud











Dangers of Fast Recovery

Easy to create bottlenecks

- Prioritize how resources are spent during recovery
- Druid prioritizes data availability and throttles replication

Beware query hotspots

- Intelligent load balancing during recovery is important





The Really Bad

Data Center Outage

Very uncommon

Power loss

Can be extremely disruptive without proper planning

Solution: Multi-datacenter replication

Beware pitfalls of multi-datacenter replication

Multi-Datacenter Replication



Multi-Datacenter Pitfalls

Coordination + leader election can be tricky

Communication can require non-trivial network time

Coordination usually done with heartbeats and quorum decisions

Writes, failovers, & consistent reads require round trips

Multi-Datacenter Replication



The Catastrophic

"Why are things slow today?"

Poor performance is much worse than things completely failing

Causes:

- Heavy concurrent usage (multi-tenancy)
- Hotspots & variability
- Bad software update

Architecting for Multi-tenancy

Small units of computation

- No single query should starve out a cluster

Druid Multi-tenancy

	Druid Historical	
	Segment_query_1	
	Segment_query_2	
Queries	Segment_query_1	Processing
	Segment_query_3	Order
	Segment_query_2	↓
	Segment_query_1	
	Segment_query_4	

Architecting for Multi-tenancy

Resource prioritization and isolation

- Not all queries are equal
- Not all users are equal

Druid Multi-tenancy



Hotspots

Incredible variability in query performance among nodes

Nodes may become slow but not fail

Difficult to detect as there is nothing obviously wrong

Solutions:

- Hedged requests
- Selective Replication
- Latency Induced Probation

Hedged Requests



Hedged Requests



Minimizing Variability

Selective Replication

Latency-induced probation

Great paper: https://web.stanford.edu/class/cs240/readings/tail-at-scale.pdf

Bad Software Updates

It is very difficult to simulate production traffic

- Testing/staging clusters mostly verify correctness

No noticeable failures for a long time

Common cause of cascading failures

Be able to update different components with no down time

Backwards compatibility is extremely important

Roll back if things are bad







Best Practices: Operations

Monitoring

Detection of when things go badly

Define your critical metrics and acceptable values

Alerts

Alert on critical errors

- Out of disk space, out of cluster capacity, etc.

Design alerts to reduce "noise"

- Distinguish warnings and alerts

Exploratory Analytics

Extremely critical to diagnosing root causes quickly

Not many organizations do this

Takeaways

Everything is going to fail!

- Use replication for single server failures
- Use fast recovery for multi-server failures (when you don't want to set up another data center)
- Use multi-datacenter replication when availability really matters
- Alerting, monitoring, and exploratory analysis are critical

Thanks!

@implydata @druidio @fangjin

> imply.io druid.io