ACID Is So Yesterday: Maintaining Data Consistency with Sagas

Chris Richardson

Founder of Eventuate.io Founder of the original CloudFoundry.com Author of POJOs in Action

@crichardson chris@chrisrichardson.net <u>http://eventuate.io</u>



Presentation goal

Distributed data management challenges in a microservice architecture

Sagas as the transaction model



About Chris







where the set the transfer of the set of the



ation to the trat is

BuimAlilana

About Chris

Consultant and trainer focusing on modern application architectures including microservices (http://www.chrisrichardson.net/)

About Chris

Founder of a startup that is creating an open-source/SaaS platform that simplifies the development of transactional microservices (http://eventuate.io)



For more information



http://learnmicroservices.io

Agenda

- ACID is not an option
- Overview of sagas
- Coordinating sagas
- Sagas and inter-service communication

The microservice architecture structures an application as a set of loosely coupled services

Microservices enable continuous delivery/deployment



Microservice architecture



Private database != private database **server**

Loose coupling = encapsulated data



How to maintain data consistency?!?!?

Invariant: sum(open order.total) <= customer.creditLimit

Cannot use ACID transactions

Distributed transactions

BEGIN TRANSACTION

Private to the Order Service

SELECT ORDER_TOTAL FROM ORDERS WHERE CUSTOMER_ID = ?

SELECT CREDIT_LIMIT FROM CUSTOMERS WHERE CUSTOMER_ID = ?

INSERT INTO ORDERS ...

MIT TRANSACTION

Private to the Customer Service

2PC is not an option

Guarantees consistency

00000

BUT

- PC coordinator is a single point of failure
- Chatty: at least O(4n) messages, with retries O(n^2)
- Reduced throughput due to locks
- Not supported by many NoSQL databases (or message brokers)
- CAP theorem \Rightarrow 2PC impacts availability



ACID

Basically
Available
Soft state
Eventually consistent

http://queue.acm.org/detail.cfm?id=1394128

Agenda

- ACID is not an option
- Overview of sagas
- Coordinating sagas
- Sagas and inter-service communication

From a 1987 paper

SAGAS

Hector Garcia-Molina Kenneth Salem

Department of Computer Science Princeton University Princeton, N J 08544

Use Sagas instead of 2PC



Create Order Saga

createOrder()

Order Service Local transaction

createOrder()

Order

state=PENDING

Customer Service Local transaction reserveCredit()

Customer

Order Service Local transaction approve order() Order state=APPROVED

If only it were this easy...

Rollback using compensating transactions

ACID transactions can simply rollback

BUT

- Developer must write application logic to "rollback" eventually consistent transactions
- Careful design required!



 $T1 \Rightarrow T2 \Rightarrow C1$

Create Order Saga - rollback

createOrder()

Order Service Local transaction

createOrder()

Insufficient credit

FAIL

Customer Service

Local transaction

reserveCredit()

Order

Customer

Order Service Local transaction reject order()

Order

Sagas complicate API design

- Synchronous API vs Asynchronous Saga
- Request initiates the saga. When to send back the response?
- Option #1: Send response when saga completes:
 - + Response specifies the outcome
 - Reduced availability
- Option #2: Send response immediately after creating the saga (recommended):
 - + Improved availability
 - Response does not specify the outcome. Client must poll or be notified

Revised Create Order API

createOrder()

- returns id of newly created order
- NOT fully validated
- getOrder(id)
 - Called periodically by client to get outcome of validation

Minimal impact on UI

- UI hides asynchronous API from the user
- Saga will usually appear instantaneous (<= 100ms)
- If it takes longer \Rightarrow UI displays "processing" popup
- Server can push notification to UI



How to cancel a PENDING Order?

■ Don't ⇒ throw an OrderNotCancellableException

- Questionable user experience
- "Interrupt" the Create Order saga?
 - Cancel Order Saga: set order.state = CANCELLED
 - Causes Create Order Saga to rollback
 - But is that enough to cancel the order?
- Cancel Order saga waits for the Create Order saga to complete?
 - Suspiciously like a distributed lock
 - But perhaps that is ok

Countermeasure Transaction Model

SOFTWARE-PRACTICE AND EXPERIENCE, VOL. 28(1), 77-98 (JANUARY 1998)

Semantic ACID Properties in Multidatabases Using Remote Procedure Calls and Update Propagations

lars frank¹ and torben u. zahle²

Saga structure

- Series of compensatable transactions (Ti,Ci)
- Pivot transaction (Ti)
 - "not compensatable or retriable"
 - Execute compensating transactions if it fails
 - GO/NO GO point
- Set of retriable transactions (Ti)
 - Can't fail

Sagas are ACD

Atomicity

 Saga implementation ensures that all transactions are executed **OR** all are compensated

Consistency

- Referential integrity within a service handled by local databases
- Referential integrity across services handled by application

Durability

Durability handled by local databases

Lack of $I \Rightarrow$ anomalies

- Lost update
 - Ti reads \Rightarrow other transaction writes \Rightarrow Tj (or Ci) writes
- Dirty reads
 - Ti writes \Rightarrow other transaction reads \Rightarrow Ci writes
- non-repeatable/fuzzy read
 - Ti reads \Rightarrow other transaction writes \Rightarrow Tj reads

Countermeasures for reducing impact of isolation anomalies...

- Commutative updates
 - e.g. debit account can compensate for a credit account
- Version file
 - Record history of changes
 - Use them to make updates commutative
 - e.g. record cancel reservation so that create/cancel = cancel/ create
 - Sounds suspiciously like event sourcing

...Countermeasures for reducing impact of isolation anomalies...

Re-read value

- Before modifying value, Ti re-reads value that was read by a previous Ti
- Abort if the value has changed (and possibly restart)
- Pessimistic view
 - Minimize the business risk
 - Reduce available credit in compensatable transaction
 - Increase available credit in retriable transaction, which will never be compensated

....Countermeasures for reducing impact of isolation anomalies

- Countermeasures by value
 - Business risk determine strategy
 - High risk => use 2PC/distributed transaction
- Semantic lock
 - Compensatable transaction sets flag, retriable transaction releases it
 - Flag = lock prevents other transactions from accessing it
 - Flag = warning treat the data differently, e.g. a pending deposit
 - Require deadlock detection, e.g. timeout

Agenda

- ACID is not an option
- Overview of sagas
- Coordinating sagas
- Sagas and inter-service communication

How to sequence the saga transactions?

- After the completion of transaction Ti "something" must decide what step to execute next
- Success: which T(i+1) branching
- Failure: C(i 1)

Choreography: distributed decision making

VS.

Orchestration: centralized decision making

Option #1: Choreography-based coordination using events



Benefits and drawbacks of choreography

Benefits

- Simple, especially when using event sourcing
- Participants are loosely coupled

Drawbacks

- Cyclic dependencies services listen to each other's events
- Overloads domain objects, e.g. Order and Customer
 know too much
- Events = indirect way to make something happen



A saga (orchestrator) is a persistent object that tracks the state of the saga and invokes the participants

Saga behavior

- On create:
 - Invokes a saga participant
- On reply:
 - Determine which saga participant to invoke next
 - Invokes saga participant
 - Updates its state

CreateOrderSaga orchestrator

Create Order



reserveCredit()

creditReserved()

Customer Service

Customer

creditLimit creditReservations

CreateOrderSaga definition

Saga's Data

public class CreateOrderSaga implements SimpleSaga<CreateOrderSagaData> -

```
private SagaDefinition<CreateOrderSagaData> sagaDefinition =
    step()
    .withCompensation(this::reject)
    .step()
    .invokeParticipant(this::reserveCredit)
    .step()
    .invokeParticipant(this::approve)
    .build();
```

Sequence of steps

```
step = (T_i, C_i)
```

@Override
public SagaDefinition<CreateOrderSagaData> getSagaDefinition() { return this.sagaDefinition; }

Customer Service command handler

```
Route command
public class CustomerCommandHandler {
                                                                     to handler
 @Autowired
  private CustomerRepository customerRepository;
  public CommandHandlers commandHandlerDefinitions()
    return SagaCommandHandlersBuilder
            .fromChannel("customerService")
            .onMessage(ReserveCreditCommand.class, this::reserveCredit)
            .build();
  public Message reserveCredit(CommandMessage<ReserveCreditCommand> cm) {
    ReserveCreditCommand cmd = cm.getCommand();
    long customerId = cmd.getCustomerId();
    Customer customer = customerRepository.findOne(customerId);
    try {
      customer.reserveCredit(cmd.getOrderId(), cmd.getOrderTotal());
      return withSuccess(new CustomerCreditReserved());
    } catch (CustomerCreditLimitExceededException e) {
      return withFailure(new CustomerCreditReservationFailed()):
                                                                        Reserve
                                                                         credit
                               Make reply message
                                                                             @crichardson
```

Eventuate Tram Sagas

- Open-source Saga framework
- Currently for Java
- https://github.com/eventuate-tram/eventuate-tram-sagas

Benefits and drawbacks of orchestration

Benefits

- Centralized coordination logic is easier to understand
- Reduced coupling, e.g.
 Customer knows less
- Reduces cyclic dependencies

Drawbacks

 Risk of smart sagas directing dumb services

Agenda

- ACID is not an option
- Overview of sagas
- Coordinating sagas
- Sagas and inter-service communication





Saga must complete even if there are transient failures

Use asynchronous messaging

Ensures sagas complete when participants are temporarily unavailable

Create Order Saga messaging



Messaging must be transactional



How to make **atomic** without 2PC?

Option #1: Use database table as a message queue



See BASE: An Acid Alternative, http://bit.ly/ebaybase

Publishing messages

Poll the MESSAGE table (ok)



Tail the database transaction log (better)

Eventuate Tram

Open-source framework for transactional messaging

- Send and receive messages
- Publish and subscribe to domain events
- Send commands and replies
- Currently, for Java

https://github.com/eventuate-tram/eventuate-tram-core

Option #2: Event sourcing: event-centric persistence

			Eve	Event table				
	Serv		Entit id	^y Entity type	Event id	Event type	Event data	
		save events and publish	101	Order	901	OrderCreated		
			101	Order	902	OrderApproved		
	Event	Event Store		Order	903	OrderShipped		

Every state change \Rightarrow event

Summary

- Microservices tackle complexity and accelerate development
- Database per service is essential for loose coupling
- Use sagas to maintain data consistency across services
- Use transactional messaging to make sagas reliable

@crichardson chris@chrisrichardson.net

Questions?

http://learnmicroservices.io