How Not to Measure Latency

An attempt to confer wisdom...

Gil Tene, CTO & co-Founder, Azul Systems



©2012 Azul Systems, Inc

This Talk's Purpose / Goals

This is not a "there is only one right way" talk

This is a talk about the common pitfalls people run into when measuring latency

It will hopefully get you to critically examine both WHY and HOW you measure latency

It will discuss some generic tools that could help

The "Azul makes the world's best JVM for latencysensitive applications" stuff will only come towards the end, I promise...

About me: Gil Tene

- co-founder, CTO
 @Azul Systems
- Have been working on a "think different" GC approaches since 2002
- Created Pauseless & C4 core GC algorithms (Tene, Wolf)
- A Long history building Virtual & Physical Machines, Operating Systems, Enterprise apps, etc...



* working on real-world trash compaction issues, circa 2004

About Azul

- We make scalable Virtual Machines
- Have built "whatever it takes to get job done" since 2002
- 3 generations of custom SMP
 Multi-core HW (Vega)
- Now Pure software for commodity x86 (Zing)
- "Industry firsts" in Garbage collection, elastic memory, Java virtualization, memory scale



High level agenda

Some latency behavior background

Latency "philosophy" questions

The pitfalls of using "statistics"

The Coordinated Omission Problem

Some useful tools

Demonstrate what tools can tell us about a latencyfriendly JVM...

A classic look at response time behavior

Response time as a function of load





* source: IBM CICS server documentation, "understanding response times"

Response time over time

When we measure behavior over time, we often see:





What happened here?



* Source: Gil running an idle program and suspending it five times in the middle

Common fallacies

Computers run application code continuously

Response time can be measured as work units/time

Response time exhibits a normal distribution

Glitches" or "Semi-random omissions" in measurement don't have a big effect.



Hiccups are [typically] strongly multi-modal

They don't look anything like a normal distribution They usually look like periodic freezes A complete shift from one mode/behavior to another Mode A: "good". Mode B: "Somewhat bad" Mode C: "terrible", ...





Common ways people deal with hiccups





Common ways people deal with hiccups

Averages and Standard Deviation





Bend to 1

0

th hiccups

Actually ⁵⁰⁰ measured find see percentiles



Response Time Percentile plot line





Requirements

Why we measure latency and response times to begin with...



Latency tells us how long something took

- But what do we WANT the latency to be?
- What do we want the latency to BEHAVE like?
- Substitution Latency requirements are usually a PASS/FAIL test of some predefined criteria
- Different applications have different needs
- Requirements should reflect application needs
 Measurements should provide data to evaluate requirements



The Olympics aka "ring the bell first"

- Goal: Get gold medals
- Need to be faster than everyone else at SOME races
- Ok to be slower in some, as long as fastest at some (the average speed doesn't matter)
- Ok to not even finish or compete (the worst case and 99%'ile don't matter)
- Different strategies can apply. E.g. compete in only 3 races to not risk burning out, or compete in 8 races in hope of winning two

Pacemakers

aka "hard" real time

- Goal: Keep heart beating
- Need to never be slower than X
- "Your heart will keep beating 99.9% of the time" is not very reassuring
- Having a good average and a nice standard deviation don't matter or help
- The worst case is all that matters



"Low Latency" Trading aka "soft" real time

Goal: Be fast enough to make some good plays Goal: Contain risk and exposure while making plays But can't afford to hold open position for 20 msec, or react to 30msec stale information So we want a very good "typical" (median, 50%'ile) But we also need a reasonable Max, or 99.99%'ile



- Interactive applications aka "squishy" real time Goal: Keep users happy enough to not complain/leave Need to have "typically snappy" behavior Ok to have occasional longer times, but not too high, and not too often
- Example: 90% of responses should be below 0.5sec, 99% should be below 2 seconds, 99.9 should be better than 5 seconds. And a >10 sec. response should never happen.
- Remember: A single user may have 100 interactions per session...

Establishing Requirements an interactive interview (or thought) process

- Q: What are your latency requirements?
- A: We need an avg. response of 20msec
- Q: Ok. Typical/average of 20msec... So what is the worst case requirement?
- A: We don't have one
- Q: So it's ok for some things to take more than 5 hours?
- A: No way!
- Q: So I'll write down "5 hours worst case..."
- A: No... Make that "nothing worse than 100 msec"
- Q: Are you sure? Even if it's only three times a day?
- A: Ok... Make it "nothing worse than 2 seconds..."



Establishing Requirements an interactive interview (or thought) process

- Ok. So we need a typical of 20msec, and a worst case of 2 seconds. How often is it ok to have a 1 second response?
- A: (Annoyed) I thought you said only a few times a day
- Q: Right. For the worst case. But if half the results are better than 20msec, is it ok for the other half to be just short of 2 seconds? What % of the time are you willing to take a 1 second, or a half second hiccup? Or some other level?
- A: Oh. Let's see. We have to better than 50msec 90% of the time, or we'll be losing money even when we are fast the rest of the time. We need to be better than 500msec 99.9% of the time, or our customers will complain and go elsewhere
- Now we have a service level expectation:
 - 50% better than 20msec
 - 90% better than 50msec
 - 99.9% better than 500msec
 - IOO% better than 2 seconds



Latency does not live in a vacuum



Remember this?

How much load can this system handle?



What the marketing benchmarks will say



Sustainable Throughput: The throughput achieved while safely maintaining service levels



Instance capacity test: "Fat Portal" HotSpot CMS: Peaks at ~ 3GB / 45 concurrent users

Native @ 45 users with 3 GB heap





* LifeRay portal on JBoss @ 99.9% SLA of 5 second response times

Instance capacity test: "Fat Portal" C4: still smooth @ 800 concurrent users





The coordinated omission problem

An accidental conspiracy...



The coordinated omission problem

Common Example:

- ø build/buy simple load tester to measure throughput
- issue requests one by one at a certain rate
- measure and log response time for each request
- results log used to produce histograms, percentiles, etc.

So what's wrong with that?

- works well only when all responses fit within rate interval
- technique includes implicit "automatic backoff" and coordination
- But requirements interested in random, uncoordinated requests
- How bad can this get, really?



Example of naïve %'ile



Example of naïve %'ile



Proper measurement



The real world

Results were collected by a single client

thread

[OVERALL], RunTime(ms), 2028755.0 [OVERALL], Throughput(ops/sec), 49291.31413108039 [UPDATE], Operations, 89999169 [UPDATE], AverageLatency(ms), 2.606116218695308 [UPDATE], MinLatency(ms), 0 [UPDATE], MaxLatency(ms), 26182 [UPDATE], 95thPercentileLatency(ms), 8 [UPDATE], 99thPercentileLatency(ms), 5

> 26.182 seconds represents 1.29% of the total time

99%'ile MUST be at least 0.29% of total time (1.29% - 1%) which would be 5.9 seconds

wrong by a factor of 1,000x



The real world

A world record SPECjEnterprise2010 result

Response Times	Average	Std. dev.	<u>90th%</u>	Regd 90th%
Purchase	0.211	0.63	0.280	2.000
Manage	0.133	0.52	0.210	2.000
Browse	0.260	0.82	0.320	2.000
CreateVehicleEJB	0.303	0.50	0.610	5.000
CreateVehicleWS	0.276	0.40	0.520	5.000

The max is 762 (!!!) standard deviations away from the mean

305.197 seconds represents 8.4% of the timing run



Suggestions Lessons learned

Whatever your measurement technique is, test it.

Run your measurement method against artificial system that creates the hypothetical pauses scenarios. See if your reported results agree with how you would describe that system behavior

On't waste time analyzing until you establish sanity

Don't use or derive from std. deviation.

Always measure Max time. Consider what it means.

Measure %'iles. Lots of them.



Some Tools





HdrHistogram If you want to be able to produce graphs like this...

Latency by Percentile Distribution



You need a good dynamic range, and good resolution, at the same time



A High Dynamic Range Histogram

- Covers a configurable dynamic value range
- At configurable precision (expressed as number of significant digits)

For Example:

- Track values between 1 microsecond and 1 hour
- With 3 decimal points of resolution
- Built-in compensation for Coordinated Omission

Open Source

On github, released to the public domain, creative commons CCO



Fixed cost in both space and time

- Built with "latency sensitive" applications in mind
- Recording values does not allocate or grow any data structures
- Recording values use a fixed computation to determine location (no searches, no variability in recording cost, FAST)
- Even iterating through histogram can be done with no allocation

Internals work like a "floating point" data structure

- "Exponent" and "Mantissa"
- Second Activities "Mantissa bucket" to use
- Mantissa buckets" provide linear value range for a given exponent.
 Each have enough linear entries to support required precision

Provides tools for iteration
Linear, Logarithmic, Percentile
Supports percentile iterators
Practical due to high dynamic range

Convenient percentile output

 E.g. 10% intervals between 0 and 50%, 5% intervals between 50% and 75%, 2.5% intervals between 75% and 87.5%, ...

Very useful for feeding percentile distribution graphs... Value, Percentile, TotalCountIncludingThisValue

0.003	0.000000000000	7
0.057	0.100000000000	807222
0.058	0.200000000000	1235747
0.059	0.300000000000	1694413
0.060	0.400000000000	1994719
0.062	0.500000000000	2373326
0.064	0.550000000000	2620309
0.066	0.600000000000	2795011
0.070	0.650000000000	3036116
1.280	0.700000000000	3228296
5.552	0.750000000000	3458862
7.712	0.775000000000	3574491
9.856	0.800000000000	3689655
12.016	0.825000000000	3805210
14.176	0.850000000000	3920746
16.320	0.875000000000	4036366
17.408	0.887500000000	4094471
18.464	0.90000000000	4150910
19.584	0.912500000000	4209006
20.832	0.925000000000	4267165
22.208	0.937500000000	4324157
22.976	0.943750000000	4352952
23.808	0.950000000000	4381652
24.736	0.956250000000	4410732
25.760	0.962500000000	4439554
26.880	0.968750000000	4467918
27.488	0.971875000000	4482272
28.160	0.975000000000	4496805
28.896	0.978125000000	4511389
29.696	0.981250000000	4525422
30.656	0.984375000000	4539989
31.200	0.985937500000	4547261
31.776	0.987500000000	4554465
32.384	0.989062500000	4561828
33.088	0.990625000000	4569070

Latency by Percentile Distribution









Incontinuities in Java platform execution





Percentile



©2012 Azul Systems, Inc.

jHiccup

- A tool for capturing and displaying platform hiccups
 - Records any observed non-continuity of the underlying platform
 - Plots results in simple, consistent format

Simple, non-intrusive

- As simple as adding the word "jHiccup" to your java launch line
- % jHiccup java myflags myApp
- Or use as a java agent)
- Adds a background thread that samples time @ 1000/sec

Open Source

Released to the public domain, creative commons CCO



Telco App Example



Hiccup duration at percentile levels



Examples



Idle App on Quiet System







Hiccups by Percentile Distribution Max=22.336





Hiccup Duration (msec) 10 10 2 2 2 2

99%

Percentile

99.9%

99.99%

99.999%

©2012 Azul Systems, Inc.

25

0

0%

90%

Idle App on Quiet System

Idle App on Dedicated System







Hiccups by Percentile Distribution 0.45 0.4 0.35 0.3 0.25 0.2 0.25 0.2 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.4 0.5 0.7 0.90% 99% 99.9% 99.99% 99.99% Percentile



EHCache: 1GB data set under load











Fun with jHiccup



Charles Nutter @headius 20 Jan jHiccup, @AzulSystems' free tool to show you why your JVM sucks compared to Zing: bit.ly/wsH5A8 (thx @bascule) t3 Retweeted by Gil Tene



Oracle HotSpot CMS, 1GB in an 8GB heap



Zing 5, 1GB in an 8GB heap **Hiccups by Time Interval** Max per Interval — 99% — 99.90% — 99.99% — Max





Elapsed Time (sec)





10000 10000 10000 10000 4000 2000

Max=13156.352

90%

0%

Oracle HotSpot CMS, 1GB in an 8GB heap

Zing 5, 1GB in an 8GB heap



99.9999%

3000

3500

Drawn to scale

What you can expect (from Zing) in the low latency world

Assuming individual transaction work is "short" (on the order of 1 msec), and assuming you don't have 100s of runnable threads competing for 10 cores...

"Easily" get your application to < 10 msec worst case
With some tuning, 2–3 msec worst case
Can go to below 1 msec worst case...

May require heavy tuning/tweaking

Mileage WILL vary



Oracle HotSpot (pure newgen)

Zing







Low latency trading application

99.999%

0

0%

90%

99%

99.9%

99.99%

Percentile



Oracle HotSpot (pure newgen)

Zing



Low latency – Drawn to scale



Takeaways

Standard Deviation and application latency should never show up on the same page...

If you haven't stated percentiles and a Max, you haven't specified your requirements

Measuring throughput without latency behavior is [usually] meaningless

 Mistakes in measurement/analysis can lead to ordersof-magnitude errors and lead to bad business decisions
 jHiccup and HdrHistogram are generically useful

The Zing JVM is cool...



http://www.azulsystems.com

http://www.jhiccup.com

http://giltene.github.com/HdrHistogram

©2012 Azul Systems, Inc