

### "Quantum" Performance Effects: Beyond The Core

developer.oracle.com

Sergey Kuksenko Java Platform Group, Oracle October, 2018





#### Safe Harbor Statement

The following is intended to outline our general product direction. It is intended for information purposes only, and may not be incorporated into any contract. It is not a commitment to deliver any material, code, or functionality, and should not be relied upon in making purchasing decisions. The development, release, timing, and pricing of any features or functionality described for Oracle's products may change and remains at the sole discretion of Oracle Corporation.

### About me

- Java/JVM Performance Engineer at Oracle, @since 2010
- Java/JVM Performance Engineer, @since 2005
- Java/JVM Engineer, @since 1996

### System Under Test

- Intel<sup>®</sup> Core<sup>™</sup> i5-5300U [2.3 GHz] 1×2×2
  - $\mu$ arch: Haswell
  - launched: Q1'2015s
- OS: Xubuntu 18.04 (64-bits) (4.15.0-36-generic)
- Java 8 (64-bits)
- Java 11 (64-bits)



### https://github.com/kuksenko/quantum2



### https://github.com/kuksenko/quantum2

• Required: JMH (Java Microbenchmark Harness)

http://openjdk.java.net/projects/code-tools/jmh/







### Demo 1: How to copy 2 Mbytes.



### Demo 1

```
int[] a = new int[512*1024];
int[] b = new int[512*1024];
```

```
@Benchmark
public void arraycopy() {
    System.arraycopy(a, 0, b, 0, a.length);
}
```

```
@Benchmark
public void reversecopy() {
    for(int i = a.length - 1; i >= 0; i--) {
        b[i] = a[i];
    }
}
```

### Demo 1

```
int[] a = new int[512*1024];
int[] b = new int[512*1024];
@Benchmark
public void arraycopy() {
   740 µs
@Benchmark
public void reversecopy() {
                                             300 µs
   for(int i = a.length - 1; i >= 0; i--) { 
      b[i] = a[i];
                                        * Using Java 8
```

### **Conclusions?**

# Oracle engineers - rubbish! - I know how to copy faster!

### **Conclusions?**





• What I got:

– arraycopy vs reversecopy: 740 vs 300  $\mu s$ 



- What I got:
  - arraycopy vs reversecopy: 740 vs 300  $\mu s$
- What Bob got (on some MacBook Pro):
  - arraycopy vs reversecopy: 190 vs 185  $\mu s$

- What I got:
  - arraycopy vs reversecopy: 740 vs 300  $\mu s$
- What Bob got (on some MacBook Pro):
  - arraycopy vs reversecopy: 190 vs 185  $\mu s$
- What Alice got (she already migrated to JDK11):
  - arraycopy vs reversecopy: 270 vs 280  $\mu s$

- What I got:
  - arraycopy vs reversecopy: 740 vs 300  $\mu s$
- What Bob got (on some MacBook Pro):
  - arraycopy vs reversecopy: 190 vs 185  $\mu s$
- What Alice got (she already migrated to JDK11):
  - arraycopy vs reversecopy: 270 vs 280  $\mu s$
- What if copy less data "2Mbytes 32 bytes":
  - arraycopy vs reversecopy: 280 vs 720  $\mu s$

### spent a billion on research

- MacOS doesn't support "Large Pages"!
  - Ubuntu "Transparent Huge Pages"
- G1 is default GC since Java 9!
  - Java 8 default GC "ParallelOld"

### spent a billion on research

- MacOS doesn't support "Large Pages"!
  - Ubuntu "Transparent Huge Pages"
- G1 is default GC since Java 9!
  - Java 8 default GC "ParallelOld"

### Conclusions:

- Large Pages Rubbish!
- G1 GC Cool!

### spent a billion on research

- MacOS doesn't support "Large Pages"!
  - Ubuntu "Transparent Huge Pages"
- G1 is default GC since Java 9!
  - Java 8 default GC "ParallelOld"



### To Be Continued ...







### Demo 2: How many data?





### Demo 2: The Last Jedi Refactoring

```
public class MyData {
```

```
private byte[] bytes;
private int length;
public MyData(int length) {
    this.bytes = new byte[length];
    this.length = length;
}
public int length() { return length; }
public byte[] bytes() { return bytes; }
```

### Demo 2: dataSize(MyData)

```
MyData[] data = new MyData[256];
@Setup
public void setup() {
    Random rnd = new Random();
    Arrays.setAll(data, i -> new MyData(512 * 1024 + rnd.nextInt(64 * 1024)));
}
@Benchmark
```

```
public int dataSize() {
    int s = 0;
    for (MyData a : data) {
        s += a.length();
    }
    return s;
}
```

### Demo 2: dataSize(byte[])

```
byte[][] data = new byte[256][];
@Setup
public void setup() {
    Random rnd = new Random();
    Arrays.setAll(data, i -> new byte[512 * 1024 + rnd.nextInt(64 * 1024)]);
}
```

```
@Benchmark
public int dataSize() {
    int s = 0;
    for (byte[] a : data) {
        s += a.length;
    }
    return s;
}
```

Demo 2: results (Java 8)

## DataSize(MyData)145 nsDataSize(byte[])200 ns

ORACLE

Demo 2: results (Java 8)

What if turn on G1? (-XX:+UseG1GC)



Demo 2: results (Java 8)

What if turn on G1? (-XX:+UseG1GC)



Demo 2: results

What if turn off "Large Pages"?

ParallelOld GC:		
<pre>DataSize(MyData)</pre>	<b>145</b> ns	
<pre>DataSize(byte[])</pre>	<b>250</b> ns	
G1 GC:		
<pre>DataSize(MyData)</pre>	<b>145</b> ns	
<pre>DataSize(byte[])</pre>	<b>635</b> ns	

### **Demo 2: Conclusions**

### Conclusions:

- Large Pages Rubbish!
- G1 GC Rubbish!





#### ORACLE'

### To Be Continued ...



# 

### Why we are here?



### Caches, caches everywhere



#### ORACLE'

### Caches in numbers (Intel Core i5-5300U)

- L1 32K, 8-way, latency: 4 cycles
- L2 256K, 8-way, latency: 12 cycles
- L3 3M, 12-way, latency: 35(and more) cycles
  - cache line 64 bytes





### Demo 3: memory access cost.



### Demo 3: walking on memory

```
Node root;
```

```
@Benchmark
@OperationsPerInvocation(COUNT)
public int walk() {
    return forward(root, COUNT);
}
public int forward(Node node, int cnt) {
    for(int i=0; i < cnt; i++) {
        node = node.next;
    }
    return node.value;
}</pre>
```

### Demo 3: walking on memory


### Demo 3: walking on memory

# What about HW prefetching?

Copyright © 2018, Oracle and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

















# Demo 4: to split or not to split?



## Demo 4: Good old Unsafe!

Unsafe UNSAFE;

```
long from;
                               // page alignment
@Param({"-8", "-4", "-2", "0", "2", "4", "8" })
int offset;
                               // offset in bytes
@Benchmark
public long getlong() {
    return UNSAFE.getLong(a, from + offset);
@Benchmark
public void putlong() {
    UNSAFE.putLong(a, from + offset, 42L);
}
```

#### Demo 4: Results

offset	getlong	putlong
-8	5.0	1.8
-4	19.1	17.8
0	5.0	1.8
60	5.2	2.5
64	5.0	1.8
	time, ns/op	



#### Demo 4: Results





#### Demo 4: Misalignment

# But wait! Java doesn't have misaligned data!



#### Demo 4: Misalignment

# But wait! Java doesn't have misaligned data!

There are no misaligned data, but there are misaligned operations. Demo 4: Misalignment

# Java misaligned access:

- Unsafe/VarHandle
  - Buffers
  - Offheap
- SIMD instructions (SSE, AVX ...)
  - HotSpot intrinsics (System.arraycopy, Arrays.fill ...)
  - Automatic vectorization

# Demo 4: Arrays.fill

int from; // alignment to page boundary

int size;

int offset;

byte[] a;

```
@Benchmark
public void fill() {
    Arrays.fill(a, from + offset, from + offset + size, (byte)42);
}
```





# Demo 4: Arrays.fill, 512 bytes









# Demo 5: upside down



#### Demo 5: matrix transpose

int size;

double[][] matrix = new double[size][size];

```
@Benchmark
public void transpose() {
    for (int i = 1; i < size; i++) {
        for (int j = 0; j < i; j++) {
            double tmp = matrix[i][j];
            matrix[i][j] = matrix[j][i];
            matrix[j][i] = tmp;
        }
    }
}</pre>
```





Ν	
N+0	
N+1	
N+2	<b>94</b> μs
N+3	<b>80</b> µs



Ν	
N+0	<b>88</b> µs
N+1	
N+2	<b>94</b> μs
N+3	<b>80</b> μs



Ν	
N+0	<b>88</b> µs
N+1	<b>350</b> μs
N+2	<b>94</b> μs
N+3	<b>80</b> μs



	Ν
<b>88</b> µs	253
<b>350</b> μs	254
<b>94</b> μs	255
<b>80</b> μs	256



# Demo 5: matrix transpose





# Cache Associativity





# $\langle Critical Stride \rangle = \frac{\langle Cache Size \rangle}{\langle Associativity \rangle}$

- L1 (32K, 8-way) ⇒ 4K
- L2 (256K, 8-way) ⇒ 32K
- L3 (3M, 12-way) ⇒ 256K





# Demo 2: How many data?(cont.)



# "critical stride" hit

Let's count:

• G1 GC

- all arrays are aligned to 1M (256K, 32K, 4K)

- ParallelOld GC
  - 256 arrays ⇒ 254 different "index sets" в L3
  - − 256 arrays  $\Rightarrow$  251 different "index sets" в L2
  - 256 arrays ⇒ 62 different "index sets" в L1
  - number of hits to L1 index sets:
     10, 9, 8, 8, 8, 7, 7...





# Demo 6: the rich get richer



## Demo 6: Walking dead threads



# Demo 6: 128K per thread

Iteration	1: bob: alice:	5.246 5.241	ns/op ns/op
Iteration	2: bob: alice:	5.254 5.272	ns/op ns/op
Iteration	3: bob: alice:	5.233 5.244	ns/op ns/op
Iteration	4: bob: alice:	5.244 5.232	ns/op ns/op

# Demo 6: 1M per thread

Iteration	1: bob: alice:	14.495 14.614	ns/op ns/op
Iteration	2: bob: alice:	14.289 14.331	ns/op ns/op
Iteration	3: bob: alice:	14.242 14.296	ns/op ns/op
Iteration	4: bob: alice:	14.332 14.332	ns/op ns/op

#### Demo 6: 2M per thread



#### Demo 6: 2M per thread







# Demo 7: Bytes histogram



#### Demo 7: count bytes frequency

```
byte[] source; // SIZE == 16 * K;
@Benchmark
public int[] count1() {
    int[] table = new int[256];
    for (byte v : source) {
        table[v & 0xFF]++;
    }
    return table;
}
```
## Demo 7: count bytes frequency

```
byte[] source; // SIZE == 16 * K;
@Benchmark
public int[] count1() {
    int[] table = new int[256];
    for (byte v : source) {
        table[v & 0xFF]++;
    }
    return table;
}
```

```
13.7 μs
```

## Demo 7: count bytes frequency

```
byte[] source; // SIZE == 16 * K;
@Benchmark
public int[] count1() {
    int[] table = new int[256];
    for (byte v : source) {
        table[v & 0xFF]++;
    }
    return table;
}
```

What if the data is unevenly distributed?

## Results







#### ORACLE<sup>®</sup>







Store A;

Load B;

Load A;









No "B" in Store Buffer Execute! even before Store





## Hit to "Store Buffer"

- Wait until "Store A" reaches L1 (expensive)
- Take value from Store Buffer (a.k.a. "Store Forwarding")

## Let's do this

```
@Benchmark
public int[] count2() {
    int[] table0 = new int[256];
    int[] table1 = new int[256];
    for (int i = 0; i < source.length; ) {</pre>
        table0[source[i++] & 0xFF]++;
        table1[source[i++] & 0xFF]++;
    for (int i = 0; i < 256; i++) {</pre>
        table0[i] += table1[i];
    return table0;
```

## ... and this

```
@Benchmark
public int[] count4() {
    int[] table0 = new int[256];
    int[] table1 = new int[256];
    int[] table2 = new int[256];
    int[] table3 = new int[256];
    for (int i = 0; i < source.length; ) {</pre>
        table0[source[i++] & 0xFF]++:
        table1[source[i++] & 0xFF]++:
        table2[source[i++] & 0xFF]++:
        table3[source[i++] & 0xFF]++:
    for (int i = 0; i < 256; i++) {
        table0[i] += table1[i] + table2[i] + table3[i]:
    return table0:
```

## Results









## Demo 8: bytes $\Leftrightarrow$ int



## Demo 8: bytes $\Leftrightarrow$ int

ByteBuffer buf = ByteBuffer.allocateDirect(4);

```
@Benchmark
public int bytesToInt() {
    buf.put(0, b0);
    buf.put(1, b1);
    buf.put(2, b2);
    buf.put(3, b3);
    return buf.getInt(0);
}
@Benchmark
public int intToBytes() {
    buf.putInt(0, i0);
}
```

## Demo 8: bytes $\Leftrightarrow$ int

ByteBuffer buf = ByteBuffer.allocateDirect(4);

```
@Benchmark
public int bytesToInt() {
    buf.put(0, b0);
    buf.put(1, b1);
    buf.put(2, b2);
    buf.put(3, b3);
    return buf.getInt(0);
}
```

```
@Benchmark
public int intToBytes() {
    buf.putInt(0, i0);
    return buf.get(0) + buf.get(1) +
        buf.get(2) + buf.get(3);
}
```

```
13.2 ns
```

```
7.9 ns
```

## Demo 8: Store Forwarding success



ORACLE<sup>®</sup>

## Demo 8: Store Forwarding fail









## Demo 1: back to arraycopy



## Demo 1: looking into asm

#### arraycopy

loop: vmovdqu -0x38(%rdi,%rdx,8),%ymm0
 vmovdqu %ymm0,-0x38(%rsi,%rdx,8)
 vmovdqu -0x18(%rdi,%rdx,8),%ymm1
 vmovdqu %ymm1,-0x18(%rsi,%rdx,8)
 add \$0x8,%rdx
 jle loop

### reversecopy

loop: vmovdqu -0xc(%r8,%rbx,4),%ymm0
 vmovdqu %ymm0,-0xc(%r10,%rbx,4)
 add \$0xfffffff8,%ebx
 cmp \$0x6,%ebx
 jg loop

## Demo 1: What about memory layout?

- ParallelOld GC
  - AddressOf(a) == 0x76d890628
  - AddressOf(b) == 0x76da90638
  - AddressOf(b) AddressOf(a) == 2Mb + 16
- G1 GC
  - AddressOf(a) == 0x6c7200000
  - AddressOf(b) == 0x6c7500000
  - AddressOf(b) AddressOf(a) == 3Mb

## Demo 1: What about memory layout?

- ParallelOld GC
  - AddressOf(a) == 0x76d890628
  - AddressOf(b) == 0x76da90638
  - AddressOf(b) AddressOf(a) =

- G1 GC
  - AddressOf(a) ==  $0 \times 6c7200000$
  - AddressOf(b) == 0x6c7500000
  - AddressOf(b) AddressOf(a) == 3Mb

## Demo 1: 4K-aliasing

HW uses 12 lower bits of address to detect Store Buffer conflicts.

- address difference 4K (12 bit)
- "Load" can't bypass "Store"
- "Store Forwarding" can't help different addresses.

HW recovery:

- wait until "Store" is finished
- "clear pipeline" in case of speculation



## Demo 1: arraycopy trace

Load A; Store B; Load A + 32; Store B + 32; Load A + 64; Store B + 64;

. . .



## Demo 1: arraycopy trace

$$B == A + 2M + 16;$$

Load A; Store A + 2M + 16; Load A + 32; Store A + 2M + 48; Load A + 64; Store A + 2M + 80;

. . .



## Demo 1: arraycopy trace















## Demo 1: too many details



ORACLE<sup>®</sup>

## Demo 1: It's not the end



## Demo 1: All together

Data copying performance depends on how data located in memory

- Line split
- Page split
- 4K-aliasing
- "1M & large pages aliasing" (still didn't find an explanation)

## Conclusion





## To read!

- "What Every Programmer Should Know About Memory" Ulrich Drepper
- "Computer Architecture: A Quantitative Approach" John L. Hennessy, David A. Patterson
- CPU vendors documentation
- http://www.agner.org/optimize/
- etc.

# Thank you!


## Q & A ?

